Cache Aided Wireless Networks: Tradeoffs between Storage and Latency
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Abstract — We investigate the fundamental information theoretic limits of cache-aided wireless networks, where edge nodes (or transmitters) are endowed with caches that can store popular content such as multimedia files. This architecture aims to localize popular multimedia content by proactively pushing it closer to the edge of the wireless network, thereby alleviating backhaul load. An information theoretic model of such networks is presented, that includes the introduction of a new metric, namely normalized delivery time (NDT), which captures the worst case time to deliver any requested content to the users. We present new results on the trade-off between latency, measured via the NDT, and the cache storage capacity of the edge nodes. In particular, a novel information theoretic lower bound on NDT is presented for cache aided networks. The optimality of this bound is shown for several system parameters.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Edge processing is one of the emerging trends in the evolution of 5G networks [1]. It refers to the utilization of locally stored content and computing resources at the network edge, i.e., closer to the users. Such localization is particularly appealing for both low-latency or location-based applications as well as multimedia transmissions. A network architecture with edge processing capability is shown in Fig. 1(a). Here, edge nodes (ENs), such as base stations or LTE eNodeBs, are equipped with local caches which can store popular content, most notably multimedia files. The local availability of popular content at the network edge has the potential of reducing the delivery latency as well as the overhead on backhaul connections to content servers. As a result, cache enabled networks have studied extensively in recent literature [2]–[6].

In this paper, we investigate cache-aided wireless networks, where ENs are endowed with caching capability to store popular content locally. The design of cache-aided networks involves two key design questions: a) what to cache, i.e., how should the storage at ENs be utilized, and which content must be stored; and b) how to efficiently deliver the requested content to the users by leveraging the caches at the ENs. The design of caching policies is typically done at the long time scale at which users’ preferences are invariant and can span many transmission intervals, each corresponding to a set of requests from the users. Hence, the caching policy must be agnostic to the demands of the users as well as to the instantaneous wireless channel conditions. Instead, efficient delivery of requested content to users in each transmission interval, calls for the design of transmission policies that
file storage at an EN and the total size of all the files. For the example shown in Fig. 1(a), the information-theoretically optimal trade-off between NDT $\delta^*(\mu)$ and the fractional cache size $\mu$ is shown in Fig. 1(b). To explain the operating points on this curve, first consider $\mu = 1$, i.e., the case when both ENs can store all files, and full cooperative transmission is possible from the ENs, i.e., via zero-forcing beamforming for any set of users’ requests. This yields an NDT of $\delta^*(1) = 1$, implying that the latency performance is the same as that of the ideal interference free system. On the other hand, at $\mu = 1/2$, which is the smallest cache size for enabling the delivery of any set of requests, the NDT increases to $\delta^*(1/2) = 3/2$, and is achieved via interference alignment, thus revealing the performance loss due to decrease in the fractional cache size.

**Related Work:** Cache-aided interference channels were first investigated in a recent work by Maddah Ali and Niesen [7], [8], who introduced the problem and investigated it for $M = 3$ ENs and $K = 3$ users, and presented an upper bound on the NDT for this specific setting of $M = K = 3$. However, no attempt was made in [7] to develop lower bounds on NDT or to show the optimality of the scheme.

**Main Contributions:** To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first to develop information theoretic lower bounds (converse) on latency in cache-aided wireless networks. The main questions we investigate in this work are the following: What is the optimal caching-transmission policy as a function of the fractional cache size $\mu$? What is the optimal trade-off between the system performance (measured in terms of NDT), and the fractional cache size $\mu$? The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- We first present an information-theoretic modeling of cache enabled wireless networks and develop the NDT to measure the latency performance of such networks. For a class of practically relevant caching policies, namely uncoded caching, with full CSI at the ENs, we develop information theoretic lower bounds on the NDT.
- We show that the presented lower bounds on the NDT are optimal for the setting of $M = 2$ ENs and $K = 2$ users. Together with the upper bound in [7], we partially characterize the NDT trade-off for $M = 3$ ENs and the $K = 3$ users. In addition, we show that our lower bounds are optimal for extremal values of $\mu$ for general problem parameters.
- Finally, we investigate the impact of CSI availability at the ENs on the NDT. For the case of $M = 2$ ENs and $K = 2$ users, we illustrate the impact of delayed or no CSI at the ENs on the resulting NDT.

**II. System Model**

We consider a cache-aided wireless network where $M$ edge-nodes (EN) are connected to a total of $K$ users. The ENs can cache content from a library of $N$ files, $F_1, \ldots, F_N$, where each file is of size $L$ bits, for some $L \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Formally, the files $F_n$ are independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) as:

$$F_n \sim \text{Unif}(1, 2, \ldots, 2^L), \quad \forall n = 1, \ldots, N. \quad (1)$$

Each EN is equipped with a cache in which it can store $\mu NL$ bits, where the fraction $\mu$, with $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, is referred to as the fractional cache size. It is required that the collective cache size of the $M$ ENs be large enough to completely store the entire library of $N$ files. In this way, all user requests can be completely serviced by the ENs. Thus, we impose the condition that $M \times \mu NL \geq NL$, i.e., $\mu \geq 1/M$. Therefore, it suffices to focus on the range $\mu \in [1/M, 1]$. In each transmission interval, a user can request any file from the library and these requests are served by the ENs. The channel between EN$_m$ and user $k$, in a given transmission interval is denoted by $h_{km} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $k = 1, \ldots, K$ and $m = 1, \ldots, M$. The coefficients are assumed to be drawn i.i.d. from a continuous distribution and to be time-invariant with each transmission interval.

**Definition 1** (Policy). A caching, edge transmission, decoding policy $\pi = (\pi_c, \pi_e, \pi_d)$ is characterized by the following three mapping functions.

- **a) Caching Policy $\pi_c$:** The caching policy is defined by a function, $\pi^m_c(\cdot)$, at each edge node EN$_m$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, which maps each file to its cache storage $S_{m,n} \triangleq \pi^m_c(F_n) \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. \quad (2)

  The mapping is such that $H(S_{m,n}) \leq \mu L$ in order to satisfy the cache capacity constraints. The total cache content at EN$_m$ is given by $S_m = (S_{m,1}, S_{m,2}, \ldots, S_{m,N})$. Note that the caching policy $\pi_c$ allows for arbitrary coding within each file. However, it does not allow for inter-file coding and is hence a special case of a more general caching policy which might allow for arbitrary inter-file coding. Furthermore, the caching policy is kept fixed over multiple transmission intervals and is thus agnostic to user requests and to channel coefficients $h_{km}$.

- **b) Edge Transmission Policy $\pi_e$:** During the delivery phase of each transmission interval, each receiver $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$ requests one of the $N$ files. The demand vector is denoted by $\mathbf{D} \triangleq (d_1, \ldots, d_K) \in \{1, \ldots, N\}^K$. Knowing the demand vector $\mathbf{D}$, the global CSI $\mathbf{H} = \{(h_{km}), k = 1, \ldots, K; m = 1, \ldots, M\}$, denoting the channel coefficient between every user and EN, and having access only to its local cache content, $S_m$, the edge-node EN$_m$ uses an edge transmission policy, $\pi^m_e(\cdot)$, which encodes the cache content, $S_m$, to output a codeword

$$X^T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}) = (X_{m}[t])_{t=1}^{T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H})} = \pi^m_e(S_m, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}), \quad (3)$$

which is transmitted to the users. Here, $T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H})$ is the duration or block-length, of the channel coding policy based on a demand vector $\mathbf{D}$ and the channel realization $\mathbf{H}$. An average power constraint of $P$ is imposed on each codeword, i.e.

$$E\left[(X_{m}[t] - E[X_{m}[t]])^2\right] \leq P, \quad \forall t. \quad (4)$$

We assume that full CSI is available at all ENs and users. The issue of performance losses incurred due to degraded CSI is briefly addressed in Section III-B.

- **c) Decoding Policy $\pi_d$:** Each user $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$, receives a channel output given by:

$$Y^T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}) = (Y_k[t])_{t=1}^{T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H})} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} h_{km}X^T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}) + n_k^T(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}), \quad (5)$$
where the noise $n_k \sim N(0,1)$ is a zero mean, unit variance Gaussian random variable which is i.i.d. across time and users. Each user $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$, has a decoding policy $\pi_d(k)$, which maps the channel outputs, the receiver demands and the channel realization to the estimate

$$\hat{F}_d = \frac{1}{P} \mathbb{E}_H \left[ F_d \right]$$

of the requested file $F_d$. The caching, edge transmission and decoding policies together form a policy $\pi = (\pi_c, \pi_e, \pi_d)$ for the cache-aided wireless network. The probability of error of the policy $\pi$ is defined as

$$P_e = \max_{D} \max_{k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}} \mathbb{P} \left[ \hat{F}_d \neq F_d \right].$$

A policy is said to be feasible if, for almost all channel realizations $H$ of the channel, i.e., with probability 1, we have $P_e \to 0$ when $L \to \infty$.

**Definition 2.** (Delivery time per bit) The average achievable delivery time per bit for a given feasible policy is defined as

$$\Delta(\mu, P) = \limsup_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_H \left[ \frac{\Delta(\mu, P)}{L} \right],$$

where the expectation is over the channel realizations $H$.

While $\Delta(\mu, P)$ generally depends on the power level $P$, as well as on $\mu$, we next define a more tractable metric that reflects the latency performance in the high SNR regime.

**Definition 3.** (NDT) For any achievable $(\mu, P)$, the normalized delivery time (NDT), is defined as

$$\delta(\mu) = \lim_{P \to \infty} \frac{\Delta(\mu, P)}{1/\log P}.$$

Moreover, for a given $\mu$, the minimum NDT is defined as

$$\delta^*(\mu) = \inf \{ \delta(\mu) : \delta(\mu) \text{ is achievable} \}.$$

**Remark 1.** The delivery time per bit $\Delta(\mu, P)$ is normalized by the term $1/\log P$. This is the delivery time per bit in the high SNR regime for an ideal baseline system with no interference and unlimited caching, in which each user can be served by a dedicated EN which has locally stored all the files. An NDT of $\delta^*$ indicates that the worst-case time required to serve any possible request $D$ is $\delta^*$ times larger than the time needed by this ideal baseline system.

**Remark 2.** We observe that the NDT in (10) is proportional to the inverse of the more conventional degrees of freedom (DoF) metric $\text{DoF}(\mu)$ defined in [7], [8], namely $\delta^*(\mu) = K/\text{DoF}(\mu)$. In this paper, we opted for definition (10), rather than resorting to the DoF metric, as we believe that it more clearly reflects the operational meaning in terms of delivery latency. We also recall that [7], [8] adopted the metric $1/\text{DoF}(\mu)$ based on the observation that the latter is a convex function of $\mu$, unlike the function $\text{DoF}(\mu)$. Finally, we note that the NDT can be extended to more general scenarios for which a direct functional dependence with the DoF cannot be established [9].

**Remark 3.** Following the same arguments in [7], [8], it can be seen that the minimum NDT, $\delta^*(\mu)$, is a convex function of $\mu$. In fact, consider any two caching policies $\pi_1$, requiring storage $\mu_1$, and $\pi_2$, requiring storage $\mu_2$. Given a system with storage $\mu = \alpha \mu_1 + (1-\alpha)\mu_2$, for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the system can then operate according to policy $\pi_1$ using an $\alpha$-fraction of the cache and of time on the channel to the users, and with policy $\pi_2$ for the remaining part of the cache and of time, achieving an NDT of $\delta^*(\mu) \leq \alpha \delta^*(\mu_1) + (1-\alpha)\delta^*(\mu_2)$. Thus, the convexity of $\delta^*(\mu)$ follows from the possibility of implementing the outlined cache-sharing and time-sharing scheme.

**III. MAIN RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this work, we aim to provide fundamental limits for the NDT of an $M \times K$ cache-aided wireless system. To this end, an information-theoretic lower-bound on the NDT of the system is presented in the following section. Section III-B instead briefly discusses the impact of imperfect CSI at the ENs.

**A. Lower Bounds on NDT with Perfect CSI at the ENs**

In this section, we consider cache-aided wireless systems where perfect CSI is present at the ENs and users.

**Theorem 1.** For a cache-aided wireless system with $M$ ENs, each with a fractional cache size $\mu \in [1/M, 1]$, $K$ users and a library of $N \geq K$ files and with perfect CSI at both ENs and users, the NDT is lower bounded as

$$\delta^*(\mu) \geq \max_{\ell \in \{1, \ldots, \min\{M,K\}\}} \frac{K - (M - \ell + K - 1)\mu}{\ell},$$

where the function $(x)^+$ is defined as $(x)^+ = \max\{0, x\}$.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, Theorem 1 provides the first converse for the $M \times K$ cache-aided wireless system. The proof of Theorem 1 is presented in Appendix A. To provide further insight into the lower bound in Theorem 1, we present here a short proof sketch. As shown in Appendix A, the channel outputs of $\ell$ users, along with the cache contents of $(M-\ell)^+$ ENs is sufficient in the high-SNR regime to decode any $K$ requested files. By bounding the joint entropy of these random variables and utilizing the cache storage, caching policy and decodability constraints, one obtains the lower bound on the optimal NDT $\delta^*(\mu)$. Varying the parameter $\ell$ leads to the family of lower bounds in Theorem 1. Based on this lower bound, we next expound on the optimal characterization of $\delta^*(\mu)$ for some cache-aided wireless systems.

**Corollary 1.** For a cache-aided wireless system with $M$ ENs, each with a fractional cache size $\mu \in [1/M, 1]$, $K$ users and a library of $N \geq K$ files, we have

$$\delta^*(\mu) = \frac{M + K - 1}{M}$$

for $\mu = 1/M$, which can be achieved by leveraging interference alignment techniques for a $M \times K$ X-channel. Further, we have

$$\delta^*(\mu) = \frac{K}{\min\{M,K\}}$$

for $\mu = 1$, which can be achieved by using zero-forcing beamforming for a $M \times K$ broadcast channel.

**Proof.** To prove the corollary, we show that a policy with a NDT matching the lower bound in Theorem 1 can be identified for both $\mu = 1/M$ and $\mu = 1$.

Consider the NDT at $\mu = 1/M$; for $\mu = 1/M$, we substitute $\ell = 1$ in (11) to get

$$\delta^*(1/M) \geq K - \frac{(M-1)(K-1)}{M} = \frac{M + K - 1}{M}.$$
To obtain an upper bound on NDT, we consider the following policy. For \( \mu = 1/M \), each file can be split into \( M \) non-overlapping fragments \( F_n = \{ F_{n,1}, F_{n,2}, \ldots, F_{n,M} \} \) each of size \( L/M \) bits. The fragments \( F_{n,m} \) are stored in the cache of EN\(_n\) for \( n = 1, \ldots, N \) [7]. Thus, the cache storage for each EN is \( NL/M \) bits and the total amount of data stored in the caches of all ENs is \( NL \) bits. Next, when a file is requested by any user \( k \), each of the ENs can cooperatively transmit to the user. The \( M \times K \) system then becomes an X-channel for which, a reliable sum-rate of \( MK/k \)-log\((P)\), neglecting \( o(\log(P)) \) terms, is achievable by interference alignment [10], [11]. Thus the achievable delivery time per bit, in Definition 2, is approximately given by

\[
\Delta(\mu, P) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{KL}{L} \cdot \frac{MK}{M+K-1} \log(P) = \frac{M+K-1}{M} \log(P). 
\]

And hence, we have the achievable NDT

\[
\delta(\mu) = \lim_{P \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(\mu, P)}{1/\log(P)} = \frac{M+K-1}{M}. 
\]

Thus, we have the upper bound

\[
\delta^*(1/M) \leq \frac{M+K-1}{M}. 
\]

Combining (14) and (17) shows that the lower bound in Theorem 1 is tight for \( \mu = 1/M \).

NDT at \( \mu = 1 \): For \( \mu = 1 \), substituting, \( \ell = \min\{M, K\} \) into (11), we get

\[
\delta^*(1) \geq \frac{K}{\min\{M, K\}}. 
\]

When \( \mu = 1 \), each EN has a cache storage of \( NL \) bits, i.e., each EN can completely store the entire library. Hence the ENs can cooperatively transmit to the users using broadcast techniques such as zero-forcing to achieve a reliable sum-rate of \( \min\{M, K\}\)-log\((P)\), neglecting \( o(\log(P)) \) terms [12]. Thus, the delivery time per bit is approximately given by

\[
\Delta(\mu, P) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{KL}{L} \cdot \frac{M}{M+K-1} \log(P) \approx \frac{K}{\log(P)}. 
\]

And hence, we have the achievable NDT

\[
\delta(\mu) = \lim_{P \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(\mu, P)}{1/\log(P)} = \frac{K}{\min\{M, K\}}. 
\]

Thus, we have the upper bound

\[
\delta^*(1) \leq \frac{K}{\min\{M, K\}}. 
\]

Combining (18) and (21), shows that the lower bound in Theorem 1 is tight at \( \mu = 1 \).

Based on the results of Corollary 1, we establish the optimal NDT for a system with \( M = K = 2 \) as stated in the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** For a cache-aided wireless system with \( M = 2 \) ENs, \( K = 2 \) users and \( N \geq 2 \) files, the optimal NDT is given by

\[
\delta^*(\mu) = 2 - \mu, \quad \forall \mu \in [1/2, 1]. 
\]

For this 2-EN, 2-user system, the two corner points \( \mu = 1/2 \) and \( \mu = 1 \) are achievable as per Corollary 1. Instead, for \( \mu = 1/2 \), the system is a 2-user X-channel which has a sum-DoF of \( 4/3 \), i.e., \( \delta(1/2) = 3/2 \). Again, at \( \mu = 1 \), the system becomes a broadcast channel which has a sum-DoF of 2, i.e., \( \delta(1) = 1 \). All points on the line joining these two achievable points can be achieved through cache and time sharing between the two schemes as stated in Remark 3 in Section II. Next, considering the lower bound from Theorem 1 and using \( \ell = 1 \), we get (22), which is the line joining the two achievable corner points. Thus, Theorem 1 completely characterizes the optimal NDT \( \delta^*(\mu) \) of the cache-aided wireless system with \( M = K = 2 \) and \( N \geq 2 \). This is illustrated in Fig. 1(b). We next present an application of Theorem 1 to obtain partial characterization of the NDT for a system with \( M = K = 3 \).

**Corollary 3.** For a cache-aided wireless system with \( M = 3 \) ENs, \( K = 3 \) users and \( N \geq 3 \) files, we have

\[
\delta^*(\mu) = \begin{cases} 5/3 & \text{for } \mu = 1/3, \\ 3/2 - \mu/2 & \text{for } 2/3 \leq \mu \leq 1 \end{cases} 
\]

\[
3 - 4\mu \leq \delta^*(\mu) \leq 13/6 - 3\mu/2 & \text{for } 1/3 \leq \mu \leq 2/3. 
\]

The bounds in Corollary 3 are illustrated in Fig. 2. The lower bounds on NDT used in Corollary 3 are obtained from Theorem 1, by setting \( M = K = 3 \) system, yielding

\[
\delta^*(\mu) \geq 3 - 4\mu & \text{for } \ell = 1, \\ \delta^*(\mu) \geq 3/2 - \mu/2 & \text{for } \ell = 2, \\ \delta^*(\mu) \geq 1 & \text{for } \ell = 3. 
\]

As for upper bounds, we adapt the results in [7, Theorem 2] to obtain the following achievable NDT:

\[
\delta^*(\mu) \leq \begin{cases} 13/6 - 3\mu/2 & \text{for } 1/3 \leq \mu \leq 2/3, \\ 3/2 - \mu/2 & \text{for } 2/3 \leq \mu \leq 1 \end{cases} 
\]

The two corner points for \( \mu = 1/3 \) and \( \mu = 1 \) of the achievable NDT in (27) are achieved similar to Corollary 1. The inner point at \( \mu = 2/3 \), instead uses a novel interference alignment and zero-forcing scheme to achieve a \( \delta(1) = 7/6 \) [7]. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the lower bound coincides with the upper bound at \( \mu = 1/3 \) and for the range \( 2/3 \leq \mu \leq 1 \). Hence, the proposed lower bound in conjunction with the recent result from [7], partially characterizes the optimal NDT versus \( \mu \) trade-off for the \( M = K = 3 \) system as summarized in Corollary 3. For the regime \( 1/3 \leq \mu \leq 2/3 \), characterizing the optimal NDT remains an open problem.

**B. Impact of Imperfect CSI on the NDT Trade-off**

In this section, we investigate the impact of CSI availability at the ENs and its impact on the NDT. When CSI is delayed,
at time \( t \), ENs only have access to \( H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{t-1} \), i.e., the CSI of the previous \( t-1 \) slots. For illustration, we consider the system with \( M = K = 2 \) and \( N \geq 2 \) with \( \mu \in [1/2, 1] \). For the case of perfect CSI the optimal NDT can be characterized as in Fig. 1(b). Next we look at the achievable NDT for the case of delayed and no CSI respectively.

a) **Delayed CSI**: For the case of delayed CSI, consider the corner point \( \mu = 1/2 \) where the system behaves like a 2 x 2 X-Channel. It is known for the 2 x 2 X-Channel with delayed CSI that a sum-DoF of 6/5 is achievable [13]. As a result, an NDT of \( \delta(\mu) = 5/3 \) is achievable. Compared to the perfect CSI case, the NDT thus incurs a loss due to delayed CSI. Next, consider the corner point \( \mu = 1 \), where the system reduces to a 2 x 2 broadcast channel with delayed CSI. It is known that for such a system, a sum-DoF of 4/3 is achievable [14], i.e., a NDT of \( \delta(\mu) = 3/2 \) is achievable. The optimality of this trade-off is, again, an open problem. However, the achievability illustrates the loss incurred due to delay in CSI availability.

b) **No CSI**: In case of no CSI, it is known that the optimal scheme is transmit using time-division to each user in a separate slot [15]. Therefore a sum-DoF of 1 can be achieved, i.e., an NDT of 2 can be achieved which is optimal for all values of \( \mu \in [1/2, 1] \). The NDT trade-offs for perfect, delayed and no CSI are shown in Fig. 3.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we studied the fundamental information-theoretic limits of cache-aided wireless networks where network edge nodes are endowed with cache storage. We first proposed an information-theoretic model for such a network and we introduced the metric of normalized delivery time (NDT), which captures the worst-case latency in delivering file requests to users. We presented the first known information theoretic lower bounds for a general \( M \times K \) cache-aided wireless networks with perfect CSI. Based on this result, we showed that the optimal NDT for some system parameters can be characterized by the use of known transmission schemes such as interference alignment and zero-forcing beamforming.

Finally, we also demonstrated the effect of imperfect (delayed or no) CSI at the ENs and users on the NDT for cache-aided wireless systems.
can be upper bounded as follows:

\[ h \left( \mathbf{Y}_{[1:\ell]}^T \right) + L \epsilon_L + H \left( S_{[1:(M-\ell)+]}^T | F_{[1:\ell]} | [K+1:N] \right) - H \left( S_{[1:(M-\ell)+]}^T | F_{[1:\ell]}^T | [F_{[1:N]}] \right) \]

where \( \epsilon_L \) is a function of the channel coefficients \( \mathbf{H} \).

The proof of Lemma 1 is omitted due to lack of space and is provided in full detail in [16].

\[ \Lambda = \left( \max_{k \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} h_{km}^2 + \sum_{m \neq n} h_{km} h_{kn} \right) \]

The proof of Lemma 1 is omitted due to lack of space and is provided in full detail in [16].
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