



Inductive Modeling

- In this lecture, we shall study yet more general techniques for identifying complex non-linear models from observations of input/output behavior.
- These techniques make an attempt at mimicking human capabilities of *vicarious learning*, i.e., of learning from observation.
- These techniques should be perfectly *general*, i.e., the algorithms ought to be capable of capturing an arbitrary functional relationship for the purpose of reproducing it faithfully.
- The techniques will also be totally *unintelligent*, i.e., their capabilities of generalizing patterns from observations are almost non-existent.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Table of Contents

- [Knowledge-based vs. observation-based modeling](#)
- [Taxonomy of modeling methodologies](#)
- [Observation-based modeling and optimization](#)
- [Observation-based modeling and complexity](#)
- [Artificial neural networks](#)
- [Parametric vs. non-parametric modeling](#)
- [Quantitative vs. qualitative modeling](#)
- [Fuzzy modeling](#)
- [Fuzzy inductive reasoning](#)
- [Cardiovascular system](#)

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Knowledge-based vs. Observation-based Modeling

- Until now, we have almost exclusively embraced modeling techniques that were based on *a priori knowledge*.
- Only on a very few occasions have we created *models from observations*.
- The one time that we really tried to do this, namely when we created a *Lotka-Volterra model* of the *larch bud moth*, we were not overly successful in our endeavor.
- Yet, when we use *a priori knowledge*, such as when we model a resistor using the equation: $u = R \cdot i$, we are not really *making models* – we are only using models that had been made for us by someone else.


December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Taxonomy of Modeling Methodologies



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Observation-based Modeling and Optimization

- Any *observation-based modeling methodology* is closely linked to *optimization*.
- Let us look once more at our *Lotka-Volterra models*:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{P}_{pred} &= -a \cdot P_{pred} + k \cdot b \cdot P_{pred} \cdot P_{prey} \\ \dot{P}_{prey} &= c \cdot P_{prey} - b \cdot P_{pred} \cdot P_{prey}\end{aligned}$$

- When we used this structure to model the population dynamics of the *larch bud moth*, we mapped the *observational knowledge* available onto the *parameters* of the *Lotka-Volterra equations*, i.e., *a*, *b*, *c*, *k*, x_{prey_0} , and x_{pred_0} .
- *Modeling* here meant to *identify these parameter values*, i.e., to *minimize the error* between the *observed and simulated behavior* by means of *optimization*.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Observation-based Modeling and Complexity

- *Observation-based modeling* is very important, especially when dealing with *unknown* or only *partially understood systems*. Whenever we deal with new topics, we really have no choice, but to model them *inductively*, i.e., by using available observations.
- The less we know about a system, the more general a modeling technique we must embrace, in order to allow for all eventualities. If we know nothing, we must be prepared for anything.
- In order to model a totally unknown system, we must thus allow a *model structure* that can be *arbitrarily complex*.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.


Start Presentation





Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) I

- One very popular approach to model systems from observations is by use of *artificial neural networks (ANNs)*.
- *ANNs* are modeled after the neurons of the human brain.



$$x = w' \cdot u + b$$

$$y = \text{activation}(x)$$


w' is the *weight vector*
 b is the *bias*
 $\text{activation}()$ is a non-linear *activation function*, usually shaped after the *logistic equation*, e.g.:

$$y = \text{sigmoid}(x) = \frac{1.0}{1.0 + \exp(-x)}$$



Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) II

- Many such *neurons* are grouped together in a *matrix structure*:



More information on ANNs is offered in ECE 631.


- The *weight matrices* and *bias vectors* between neighboring *network layers* store the information about the function to be modeled.





Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) III

- It can be shown that an *ANN* with a *single hidden layer* and enough neurons on it can learn *any function* with a compact domain of the input variables.



- With at least *two hidden layers*, even arbitrary functions with holes in their input domains can be learnt.



Parametric vs. Non-parametric Models I

- *ANNs* are *parametric models*. The observed knowledge about the system under study is mapped on the (potentially very large) set of parameters of the *ANN*.
- Once the *ANN* has been *trained*, the original knowledge is no longer used. Instead, the learnt behavior of the *ANN* is used to make predictions.
- This can be dangerous. If the *testing data*, i.e. the input patterns during the use of the already trained *ANN* differ significantly from the *training data* set, the *ANN* is likely to predict garbage, but since the original knowledge is no longer in use, is unlikely to be aware of this problem.





Parametric vs. Non-parametric Models II

- *Non-parametric models*, on the other hand, always refer back to the original training data, and therefore, can be made to reject testing data that are incompatible with the training data set.
- The *Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning (FIR)* engine that we shall discuss in this lecture, is of the *non-parametric* type.
- During the *training* phase, *FIR* organizes the observed patterns, and places them in a *data base*.
- During the *testing* phase, *FIR* searches the data base for the five most similar training data patterns, the so-called *five nearest neighbors*, by comparing the new input pattern with those stored in the data base. *FIR* then predicts the new output as a weighted average of the outputs of the five nearest neighbors.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Quantitative vs. Qualitative Models I

- *Training a model* (be it parametric or non-parametric) means *solving an optimization problem*.
- In the *parametric* case, we have to solve a *parameter identification* problem.
- In the *non-parametric* case, we need to *classify the training data*, and store them in an optimal fashion in the data base.
- Training such a model can be excruciatingly slow.
- Hence it may make sense to devise techniques that will help to speed up the training process.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Quantitative vs. Qualitative Models II

- How can the speed of the optimization be controlled? Somehow, the *search space* needs to be reduced.
- One way to accomplish this is to convert *continuous variables* to equivalent *discrete variables* prior to optimization.
- For example, if one of the variables to be looked at is the ambient temperature, we may consider to classify temperature values on a spectrum from *very cold* to *extremely hot* as one of the following discrete set:

temperature = { freezing, cold, cool, moderate, warm, hot }



Qualitative Variables


- A variable that only assumes one among a set of discrete values is called a *discrete variable*. Sometimes, it is also called a *qualitative variable*.
- Evidently, it must be cheaper to search through a *discrete search space* than through a *continuous search space*.
- The problem with *discretization schemes*, such as the one proposed above, is that a lot of potentially valuable detailed information is being lost in the process.
- To avoid this pitfall, *L. Zadeh* proposed a different approach, called *fuzzification*.





Fuzzy Variables I


- **Fuzzification** proceeds as follows. A continuous variable is *fuzzified*, by decomposing it into a *discrete class value* and a *fuzzy membership value*.



- For the purpose of *reasoning*, only the *class value* is being considered. However, for the purpose of *interpolation*, the *fuzzy membership value* is also taken into account.
- *Fuzzy variables* are not *discrete*, but they are also referred to as *qualitative*.



Fuzzy Variables II



Systolic blood pressure = 110
 $\Rightarrow \{ \text{normal}, 0.78 \} \cap \{ \text{too low}, 0.15 \}$

Systolic blood pressure = 141
 $\Rightarrow \{ \text{normal}, 0.78 \} \cap \{ \text{too high}, 0.18 \}$


{ Class, membership } pairs of *lower likelihood* must be considered as well, because otherwise, the mapping would not be unique.





Fuzzy Variables in FIR

FIR embraces a slightly different approach to solving the uniqueness problem. Rather than mapping into multiple fuzzy rules, FIR only maps into a single rule, that with the largest likelihood. However, to avoid the aforementioned ambiguity problem, FIR stores one more piece of information, the "side value." It indicates, whether the data point is to the left or the right of the peak of the fuzzy membership value of the given class.



Systolic blood pressure = 110
⇒ { normal, 0.78, left }

Systolic blood pressure = 141
⇒ { normal, 0.78, right }



Neural Networks vs. Inductive Reasoners

Neural Networks	Fuzzy Inductive R.
Quantitative	Qualitative
Parametric	Non-parametric
Adaptive	Limited Adaptability
Slow Training	Fast Setup
Smooth Interpolation	Decent Interpolation
Wild Extrapolation	No Extrapolation
No Error Estimate	Error Estimate
Unsafe / Gullible	Robust / Self-critical






Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning (FIR) I

- Discretization of quantitative information
(Fuzzy Recoding)
- Reasoning about discrete categories
(Qualitative Modeling)
- Inferring consequences about categories
(Qualitative Simulation)
- Interpolation between neighboring categories
using fuzzy logic *(Fuzzy Regeneration)*




Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning (FIR) II





Fuzzification in FIR



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Qualitative Modeling in FIR I

- Once the data have been recoded, we wish to determine, which among the possible set of input variables best represents the observed behavior.
- Of all possible input combinations, we pick the one that gives us as deterministic an input/output relationship as possible, i.e., when the same input pattern is observed multiple times among the training data, we wish to obtain output patterns that are as consistent as possible.
- Each input pattern should be observed at least five times.

December 5, 2003


© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Qualitative Modeling in FIR II



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Qualitative Modeling in FIR III

- The *qualitative model* is the *optimal mask*, i.e., the set of inputs that best predict a given output.
- Usually, the *optimal mask* is *dynamic*, i.e., the current output depends both on current and past values of inputs and outputs.
- The optimal mask can then be applied to the training data to obtain a set of *fuzzy rules* that can be alphanumerically sorted.
- The *fuzzy rule base* is our *training data base*.

December 5, 2003


© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Qualitative Simulation in FIR




December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Time-series Prediction in FIR



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.


Start Presentation





Simulation Results I

time	u_1
$t - 14\Delta t$	-1
...	0
$t - 7\Delta t$	-2
...	0
$t - \Delta t$	-3
t	+1



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Quantitative vs. Qualitative Modeling

- **Deductive Modeling Techniques**
 - * have a large degree of validity in many different and even previously unknown applications
 - * are often quite imprecise in their predictions due to inherent model inaccuracies
- **Inductive Modeling Techniques**
 - * have a limited degree of validity and can only be applied to predicting behavior of systems that are essentially known
 - * are often amazingly precise in their predictions if applied carefully

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.


Start Presentation





Mixed Quantitative & Qualitative Modeling

- It is possible to combine qualitative and quantitative modeling techniques.



Application: Cardiovascular System I

- Let us apply the technique to a fairly complex system: the *cardiovascular system* of the human body.
- The cardiovascular system is comprised of two subsystems: the *hemodynamic system* and the *central nervous control*.
- The *hemodynamic system* describes the flow of blood through the heart and the blood vessels.
- The *central nervous control* synchronizes the control algorithms that control the functioning of both the heart and the blood vessels.





Application: Cardiovascular System II

- The *hemodynamic system* is essentially a hydrodynamic system. The heart and blood vessels can be described by pumps and valves and pipes. Thus *bond graphs* are suitable for its description.
- The *central nervous control* is still not totally understood. *Qualitative modeling* on the basis of observations may be the tool of choice.


December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



The Hemodynamic System I



The *heart chambers* and *blood vessels* are containers of blood. Each container is a storage of mass, thus contains a *C-element*.

The *C-elements* are partly non-linear, and in the case of the heart chambers even time-dependent.

The *mSE-element* on the left side represents the residual volume of the vessel.

The *mSE-element* on the right side represents the thoracic pressure, which is influenced by the breathing.

December 5, 2003


© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation






The Hemodynamic System II



```
parameter Real EADS=0.15 "Max. elasticity";  
parameter Real EADD=0.05 "Min. elasticity";  
parameter Real VAD0=45.51 "Initial volume";  
parameter Real VUAD=30.0 "Residual volume";
```




December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.


Start Presentation



The Hemodynamic System III



```
parameter Real r=0.00796;  
parameter Real r1=0.003 "Linear resistance";  
parameter Real KFI=0.001;  
parameter Real KVI=1.539;  
parameter Real DF1K1=KFI*r0/(2*VI^2) "Quadratic resistance";  
parameter Real I1=0.00022 "Inductance";  
  
equation  
Sw1.open = flowCon1.e > flowCon2.e or I1.f > 0;  
Sw2.open = not Sw1.open;
```



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





The Hemodynamic System IV

- All *containers* are drawn as *boxes*. They end in *0-junctions*.
- All *flows* between containers are drawn as *arrows*. They end in *bonds*.
- As long as containers and flows toggle, they can be connected together without bonds in between.
- Some of the *flows* contain inductors, others only resistances. Some of them also contain valves, which are represented by *Sw-elements*.


December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



The Heart



The *heart* contains the four chambers, as well as the four major heart valves, the *pulmonary* and *aorta valves* at the exits of the ventricula, and the *mitral* and *tricuspid valves* between the atria and the corresponding ventricula.

The *sinus rhythm* block programs the contraction and relaxation of the heart muscle.

The *heart muscle* flow symbolizes the coronary blood vessels that are responsible for supplying the heart muscle with oxygen.


r, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





The Thorax



The *thorax* contains the *heart* and the *major blood vessels*.

The *table lookup* function at the bottom computes the thoracic pressure as a function of the breathing.

The *arterial blood* is drawn in red, whereas the *venous blood* is drawn in blue.

Shown on the left are the *central nervous control signals*.

Start Presentation




The Body Parts

- In similar ways, also the other parts of the circulatory system can be drawn. These include the *head and arms* (the *brachiocephalic trunk and veins*), the *abdomen* (the *gastrointestinal arteries and veins*), and the *lower limbs*.
- Together they form the *hemodynamic system*.
- What is lacking still are the *central nervous control* functions.



The Cardiovascular System




December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Simulation Results II



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Discussion I

- The top graph shows the *peripheric resistance controller, Q4*, during a *Valsalva maneuver*.
- The true data are superposed with the simulated data. The simulation results are generally very good. However, in the center part of the graph, the errors are a little larger.
- Below are two graphs showing the *estimate of the probability of correctness* of the prediction made. It can be seen that *FIR* is aware that the simulation results in the center area are less likely to be of high quality.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Discussion II

- This can be exploited. Multiple predictions can be made in parallel together with estimates of the likelihood of correctness of these predictions.
- The predictions can then be kept that are accompanied by the *highest confidence value*.
- This is shown on the next graph. Two different models (*sub-optimal masks*) are compared against each other. The second mask performs better, and also the confidence values associated with these predictions are higher.

December 5, 2003


© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Simulation Results III



December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Conclusions I

- **Quantitative modeling**, i.e. modeling from first principles, is the appropriate tool for applications that are well understood, and where the *meta-laws* are well established.
- **Physical modeling** is most desirable, because it offers most insight and is most widely extensible beyond the range of previously made experiments.
- **Qualitative modeling** is suitable in areas that are poorly understood, where essentially all the available knowledge is in the observations made and is still in its raw form, i.e., no meta-laws have been extracted yet from previous observations.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





Conclusions II

- **Fuzzy modeling** is a highly attractive *inductive modeling* approach, because it enables the modeler to obtain a *measure of confidence* in the predictions made.
- **Fuzzy inductive reasoning** is one among several approaches to fuzzy modeling. It has been applied widely and successfully to a fairly wide range of applications both in engineering and in the soft sciences.
- **Qualitative models** cannot provide insight into the functioning of a system. They can only be used to predict their future behavior, as long as the behavioral patterns stay within their observed norms.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation



Industrial Applications

- **Cardiovascular System Modeling** for Classification of Anomalies.
- **Anaesthesiology Model** for Control of Depth of Anaesthesia During Surgery.
- **Shrimp Growth Model** for **El Remolino** Shrimp Farm in Northern Mexico.
- **Prediction of Water Demand** in Barcelona and Rotterdam.
- **Design of Fuzzy Controller** for Tanker Ship Steering.
- **Fault Diagnosis** of Nuclear Power Plants.
- **Prediction of Technology Changes** in Telecommunication Industry.

December 5, 2003

© François E. Cellier, Ph.D.

Start Presentation





References I

- Cellier, F.E. (1991), Continuous System Modeling, Springer-Verlag, New York, Chapter 13.
- Cellier, F.E. (1991), Continuous System Modeling, Springer-Verlag, New York, Chapter 14.
- Cellier, F.E., A. Nebot, F. Mugica, and A. de Albornoz (1996), “Combined Qualitative/Quantitative Simulation Models of Continuous-Time Processes Using Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning Techniques,” *Intl. J. General Systems*, **24**(1-2), pp.95-116.



References II

- Nebot, A., F.E. Cellier, and M. Vallverdú (1998), “Mixed Quantitative/Qualitative Modeling and Simulation of the Cardiovascular System,” *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, **55**(2), pp.127-155.
- Cellier, F.E. (2002), The Dymola Cardiovascular System Model.





Recent Ph.D. Dissertations

- **Nebot, A.** (1994), *Qualitative Modeling and Simulation of Biomedical Systems Using Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning.*
- **Mugica, F.** (1995), *Diseño Sistemático de Controladores Difusos Usando Razonamiento Inductivo.*
- **de Albornoz, A.** (1996), *Inductive Reasoning and Reconstruction Analysis: Two Complementary Tools for Qualitative Fault Monitoring of Large-Scale Systems.*
- **López, J.** (1999), *Qualitative Modeling and Simulation of Time Series Using Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning.*
- **Mirats, J.M.** (2001), *Large-Scale System Modeling Using Fuzzy Inductive Reasoning.*

