## ECE 304: Exam 2 Spring '05 Solutions

NOTE: IN ALL CASES

1. Solve the problem on scratch paper
2. Once you understand your solution, put your answer on the answer sheet
3. Follow your answer with an outline of your solution. No points for answer without an outline of the solution. A mish-mash of computation is not an acceptable outline.
PRINT your name at the top of each answer sheet
Assume $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}}=25.864 \mathrm{mV}$ in all problems

## Problem 1: Two port



Figure 1
Circuit to be analyzed as a two port
Choosing as independent variables $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$, find the two-port network equivalent to Figure 1.

## Answer



Figure 2
Two port equivalent to Figure 1
Components in Figure 2 are related to those in Figure 1 by
EQ. 1

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}_{11}=\mathrm{R}_{\pi} / /\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mu}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}}{1+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}}\right), & \mathrm{R}_{22}=\mathrm{R}_{\mu} / / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}} \\
\beta_{\mathrm{FB}}=-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mu}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}} & \gamma_{\mathrm{FB}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mu}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}}\left(1-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{R}_{\mu}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Note:
Some students wanted to interpret this circuit as the small-signal equivalent circuit of a bipolar, and so determined that $g_{m}=\beta / r_{\pi}$. I have no problem with that. However, as Figure 2 is a smallsignal AC circuit, $I_{C}$ in Figure 2 is a small-signal AC current. Therefore, $I_{C}$ in Figure 2 cannot be interpreted as the DC collector current $I_{C}$ that enters the definition $g_{m}=I_{C} / V_{T H}$.

Outline


Figure 3
Open circuit right side to eliminate dependent current source in two port
Comparing Figure 3 with the two port with open-circuit on right and voltage source on the left we find from KVL
EQ. 2

$$
V_{B}=\left(I_{B}-V_{B} / R_{\pi}\right) R_{\mu}+\left(I_{B}-V_{B} / R_{\pi}-g_{m} V_{B}\right) R_{O} ;
$$

Combing terms and using $R_{11}=V_{B} / I_{B}$, we find the given value for $R_{11}$.
Comparing the voltage at the right of Figure 3 with the two port we find from Ohm's law EQ. 3

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{FB}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{B}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{R}_{\pi}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}} ;
$$

Collecting terms and using $I_{B}$ as found in EQ. 2, we determine $\gamma_{F B}$ as given.


Figure 4
Short circuit left side to eliminate dependent voltage source in two port
With the left side shorted, $\mathrm{V}_{\pi}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ and the dependent current source in Figure 4 is an open circuit. Evidently $R_{\mu}$ and $R_{O}$ are in parallel, and $R_{22}=V_{C} / I_{C}$ is as given. The short-circuit current from node $B$ to ground is given by the current divider as $I_{C}\left(R_{O} /\left(R_{O}+R_{\mu}\right)\right.$, and flows in the opposite direction to $\beta_{F B} I_{C}$ in the two port. Therefore, $\beta_{F B}$ is the negative of this divider ratio, as given.

Problem 2: Differential amplifier


Figure 5
Differential amplifier; note that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C} 1}$ is not the same as $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C} 2}$, and transistors are ideal with infinite Early voltages

1. Make a table on your answer sheet like the one below, and fill it in. Assume that in the active mode $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}=650 \mathrm{mV}$ and in saturation $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CB}}=-650 \mathrm{mV}$.

| $\mathbf{V 2}$ | Mode Q1 | Mode Q2 | VBE1 | VBE2 | VE | VC1 | VC2 | IC1 | IC2 | IB1 | IB2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{- 4}$ | A | CO | 650 mV | -4.35 V | 350 mV | 7.79 V | 15 V | 14.4 mA | 0 | $577 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | A | A | 650 mV | 650 mV | 350 mV | 11.39 V | 7.79 V | 7.21 mA | 7.21 mA | $288 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ | $288 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | CO | S | -350 mV | 650 mV | 1.35 V | 15 V | 1.35 V | 0 | 13.65 mA | 0 | 1.35 mA |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | CO | S | -2.35 V | 650 mV | 3.35 V | 15 V | 3.35 V | 0 | 11.65 mA | 0 | 3.35 mA |

## Note

Outline your solution only for $\mathrm{V}_{2}=-4 \mathrm{~V}$ and for $\mathrm{V}_{2}=4 \mathrm{~V}$.

## Outline

$$
V_{2}=-4 V
$$



Figure 6
$D A$ for $V_{2}=-4 V$
Because $V_{B E}(Q 2) \ll V_{B E}(Q 1), Q 2$ is cut off. Assuming $Q 1$ is active as a first guess, the collector current of Q1 is 14.42 mA , which implies a voltage drop across $500 \Omega$ of 7.21 V . Hence, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Q} 1)=$ $15-7.21=7.79 \mathrm{~V}$, which means $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CB}}(\mathrm{Q} 1)>0$, and Q 1 is active as assumed, not saturated. Using the given $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}=650 \mathrm{mV}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{V}_{1}-0.65=350 \mathrm{mV}$. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}(\mathrm{Q} 2)=-4-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=-4.35 \mathrm{~V}$. As Q 2 is cut off, there is no current through its collector resistor, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Cc}}=15 \mathrm{~V}$.

$$
V_{2}=4 \mathrm{~V}
$$



Figure 7
$D A$ for $V_{2}=4 V$ using initial guess that $Q 2$ is active

Following the same argument as for $\mathrm{V}_{2}=-4 \mathrm{~V}$, we decide that Q 1 is cut off and guess Q 2 is active. The voltage $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 2}$ is then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=15-14.42 \mathrm{~mA} \times 1 \mathrm{k} \Omega=0.57 \mathrm{~V}$, making the collector below the base, which is at $\mathrm{V}_{2}=4 \mathrm{~V}$. Therefore, Q 2 is not active but saturated.


Figure 8
Revised version of DA for $\mathrm{V}_{2}=4 \mathrm{~V}$ assuming Q2 is saturated
If Q 2 is saturated, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CB}}=-650 \mathrm{mV}$, so its collector voltage is $4 \mathrm{~V}-650 \mathrm{mV}=3.35 \mathrm{~V}$. Therefore, its collector current is $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=(15-3.35) / 1 \mathrm{k} \Omega=11.65 \mathrm{~mA}$. Using KCL for the surface shown as a circle in Figure 8, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{Q} 2)+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Q} 2)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{Q} 2)=15 \mathrm{~mA}-11.65 \mathrm{~mA}=3.35 \mathrm{~mA}$. No current contribution to $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}$ comes from Q1 because it is cut off. Also, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Q} 1)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}=15 \mathrm{~V}$. The emitter voltage is controlled by Q2, as it carries the current, so $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 2}=4-0.65=3.35 \mathrm{~V}$. Consequently $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}=1 \mathrm{~V}-3.35 \mathrm{~V}=-2.35 \mathrm{~V}$.
2. For $\mathrm{V}_{1}=1 \mathrm{~V}$, voltage $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is adjusted to make $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 2}$ the same. Determine the value of $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ for which $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 2}$, and the corresponding value of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C} 2}$. Assume $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}}=25.864 \mathrm{mV}$ and use $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ln \left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ to find $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$.

## Answer

$\mathrm{V}_{2}=981.9786 \mathrm{mV} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=10.1923 \mathrm{~V}$

Outline
We find the collector currents using Ohm's law, and use these currents to find $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ for Q1 and Q2. Then these $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ values are used to find two estimates for the emitter voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}$, determining $\mathrm{V}_{2}$.
The collector currents are
EQ. 4

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 1}=\left(15-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / 500 \Omega ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2}=\left(15-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / 1 \mathrm{k} \Omega
$$

The $V_{B E}$ values are
EQ. 5

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ln \left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 1} / I_{\mathrm{S}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ln \left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

The emitter voltage is then
EQ. 6

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 1}=1-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ell \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 1} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BE} 2}=\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ell \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

Using $\ell n(x)-\ell n(y)=\ell n(x / y)$ we find
EQ. 7

$$
1-\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ln \left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 1} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C} 2}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ln \left(\frac{15-\mathrm{VC}}{500 \Omega} \bullet \frac{1 \mathrm{k} \Omega}{15-\mathrm{VC}}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} \ell \mathrm{n} 2 .
$$

Hence,
EQ. 8

$$
V_{2}=1-V_{T H} \ell \mathrm{n} 2=982.07 \mathrm{mV}
$$

To find $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ we use KCL at the emitter node to find EQ. 9

$$
\left(\frac{15-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}}{500}+\frac{15-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}}{1 \mathrm{k}}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)=15 \mathrm{~mA} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}}=10.192 \mathrm{~V} .
$$

Problem 3: Noninverting amplifier


Figure 9
Noninverting amplifier

1. Assuming the DA behaves like an ideal operational amplifier, derive a formula that relates a change in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbb{I N}^{N}}$, say $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and a change in $\mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }}$, say $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }}$, as a function of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

## Answer

EQ. 10

$$
\Delta V_{\text {OUT }}=\left(1+R_{T} / R_{B}\right) \Delta V_{\text {IN }}
$$

## Outline



Figure 10
Ideal op amp circuit with infinite input $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{I}=0 \mathrm{~A})$ and infinite gain $\left(\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}}=0 \mathrm{~V}\right)$
Because $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}}=0 \mathrm{~V}, \Delta \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{IN}}=\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} /\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \rightarrow \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }}=\left(1+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\text {IN }}$
2. Assuming an input transient saw tooth input like Figure 11, and approximating the noninverting amplifier gain as $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\text {OUT }}=3 \Delta \mathrm{~V}_{\text {IN }}$, what is a formula for the maximum saw tooth amplitude for which the output and input waveforms from the circuit of Figure 9 approximately satisfy this ideal gain relation? What is its numerical value? What is the mechanism that limits this amplitude?


Figure 11
Example of input saw tooth, showing definition of amplitude

## Answer

$\hat{V}=\frac{I_{C}}{\frac{2}{R_{T}}+\frac{3}{R_{C}}}=1.36 \mathrm{~V}$ limited by cut off.

## Outline

## Cutoff analysis



Figure 12
Cutoff analysis
The value of $\Delta I_{C}$ is found by analyzing the AC current at the collector of Q2. The output swings up by $3 \hat{V}$, and the base of $Q 2$ by $\hat{V}$, placing a drop of $2 \hat{V}$ across $R_{T}$. Also the voltage across $R_{C}$ above Q2 drops by $3 \hat{V}$. Consequently the change in collector current of Q 2 is an upward current EQ. 11

$$
\Delta I_{C}=\frac{2 \hat{V}}{R_{T}}+\frac{3 \hat{V}}{R_{C}} .
$$

Cutoff of Q2 will occur if this AC current cancels the DC collector current of Q2, Ic. Therefore, the condition for cut off of Q2 is
EQ. 12

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{2 \hat{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}+\frac{3 \hat{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}} .
$$

Solving for $\hat{V}$ and using the given value of $I_{C}=10 \mathrm{~mA}$, the maximum swing that will not cause cut off is
EQ. 13

$$
\hat{V}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\frac{2}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}+\frac{3}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}}=\frac{10 \mathrm{~mA}}{\frac{2}{1.5 \mathrm{k} \Omega}+\frac{3}{500 \Omega}}=1.36 \mathrm{~V} .
$$

## Saturation analysis



Figure 13
Saturation analysis
When the input swings up by $\hat{V}$, the collector current of $Q 1$ increases by $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{c}$. This increase in current causes an increased voltage drop across $R_{C}$ of $\Delta V_{C}=\Delta l_{C} R_{C}$. If this drop is large enough, Q1 will saturate. The condition for saturation is $V_{C B}(Q 1)=0 V$, or
EQ. 14

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}-\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=\hat{\mathrm{V}}
$$

Here $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ Q-point value of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=10 \mathrm{~V}$. To find a value for $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}$ we need a value for $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$. The value of $\Delta I_{C}$ is found by analyzing the AC current at the collector of Q2. The output swings up by $3 \hat{V}$, and the base of $Q 2$ by $\hat{V}$, placing a drop of $2 \hat{V}$ across $R_{T}$. Also the voltage across $R_{C}$ above Q2 drops by $3 \hat{V}$. Consequently the change in collector current of Q 2 is
EQ. 15

$$
\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{2 \hat{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}+\frac{3 \hat{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}} .
$$

Because the sum of the emitter currents of Q1 and Q2 is a constant value $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}$, the decrease in collector current of Q2 is the same as the increase in collector current of Q1. Hence, we have determined $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$. Substituting into EQ. 14 we find EQ. 16

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}-\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}-\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}-\left(\frac{2 \hat{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}+\frac{3 \hat{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}=\hat{\mathrm{V}} .
$$

Collecting terms we find the maximum swing that will not cause saturation of Q1, namely EQ. 17

$$
\hat{V}=\frac{V_{C}}{4+2 \frac{R_{C}}{R_{T}}}=\frac{10}{4+2 \frac{500 \Omega}{1.5 \mathrm{k} \Omega}}=2.14 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Comparing EQ. 13 with EQ. 17 we see that it is cutoff that provides the more serious limitation, so the maximum swing will be $\hat{\mathrm{V}}=1.36 \mathrm{~V}$.
3. What is the formula for the small-signal gain of the amplifier at the bias point shown in Figure 9 , and its numerical value?

Answer
The gain $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{\beta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}+(\beta+1) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}}{2 r_{\pi}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}\right)+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\beta+1+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}\right)}=2.84 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}$.
Outline


Figure 14
Small-signal circuit
KVL down the feedback network provides
EQ. 18

$$
V_{O}=\left(\beta I_{b}-\frac{V_{O}}{R_{C}}\right) \cdot\left(R_{T}+R_{B}\right)+I_{b} R_{B}
$$

which can be solved for $I_{b}$.
EQ. 19

$$
I_{b}=V_{O}\left(\frac{1+\frac{R_{T}+R_{B}}{R_{C}}}{\beta R_{T}+(\beta+1) R_{B}}\right)
$$

KVL from node in through $R_{B}$ to ground provides
EQ. 20

$$
V_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(2 \mathrm{r}_{\pi}\right)+\left((\beta+1) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

Substituting for $I_{b}$ from EQ. 19 and collecting terms we find the reciprocal of the gain is EQ. 21

$$
\frac{V_{I}}{V_{O}}=\left(2 r_{\pi}+(\beta+1) R_{B}\right) \cdot \frac{1+\frac{R_{T}+R_{B}}{R_{C}}}{\beta R_{T}+(\beta+1) R_{B}}-\frac{R_{B}}{R_{C}}
$$

Using the given collector current, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=10 \mathrm{~mA}$, we find $\mathrm{r}_{\pi}=\beta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{TH}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{C}}=200 \times 25.864 \mathrm{mV} / 10 \mathrm{~mA}=$ $517 \Omega$. Therefore, the reciprocal gain is
EQ. 22
$\frac{V_{1}}{V_{O}}=\left(2 r_{\pi}+(\beta+1) R_{B}\right) \cdot \frac{1+\frac{R_{T}+R_{B}}{R_{C}}}{\beta R_{T}+(\beta+1) R_{B}}-\frac{R_{B}}{R_{C}}=\frac{2 r_{\pi}\left(1+\frac{R_{T}+R_{B}}{R_{C}}\right)+R_{B}\left(\beta+1+\frac{R_{T}}{R_{C}}\right)}{\beta R_{T}+(\beta+1) R_{B}}=\frac{1}{2.84 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}}$.
Two-port Approach


Figure 15 shows the two-port treatment of the feedback network, another way to find the gain.
KVL from the output to ground provides:
EQ. 23

$$
V_{O}=\left[\left(\beta+\frac{R_{B}}{R_{B}+R_{T}}\right) I_{b}-\frac{V_{O}}{R_{C}}\right]\left(R_{T}+R_{B}\right) .
$$

EQ. 23 results in the same expression for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}$ as EQ. 19. KVL from the input to ground provides EQ. 24

$$
V_{I}=I_{b}\left(2 r_{\pi}+R_{T} / / R_{B}\right)+\frac{R_{B}}{R_{B}+R_{T}} V_{O}=\left(\frac{1+\frac{R_{T}+R_{B}}{R_{C}}}{\beta R_{T}+(\beta+1) R_{B}}\right) \cdot\left(2 r_{\pi}+R_{T} / / R_{B}\right) V_{O}+\frac{R_{B}}{R_{B}+R_{T}} V_{O}
$$

where EQ. 19 was used for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}$. The reciprocal of the gain is then

EQ. 25

$$
\frac{V_{\mathrm{I}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{O}}}=\left(\frac{1+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}}}{\beta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}+(\beta+1) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}}\right) \cdot\left(2 r_{\pi}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}} / / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)+\frac{R_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T}}}
$$

which is equivalent to EQ. 22.

## Digression

That answers the question. Below is some discussion intended to make connection with work in class on the effects of feedback and the effects of loading factors.

Using some algebra, the gain also can be rewritten in terms of a loaded gain and a product of loading factors, as below. The gain with no loading factors is

## EQ. 26

$$
A_{v}=\frac{\left(\beta+\frac{R_{B}}{R_{B}+R_{C}}\right) R_{C}}{2 r_{\pi}} \approx \frac{I_{C} R_{C}}{2 V_{T H}}\left(1+\frac{R_{B}}{\beta\left(R_{B}+R_{C}\right)}\right)
$$

This gain is very nearly the same as the diff amp gain without the feedback network. The loaded gain is
EQ. 27

$$
A_{v}(\text { loaded })=A_{v}\left(\frac{R_{C} / /\left(R_{T}+R_{B}\right)}{R_{C}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{2 r_{\pi}}{2 r_{\pi}+R_{T}+R_{B}}\right)
$$

The loaded gain shows the importance of the loading factors in producing a maximum in the gain for some choice of $R_{T}$. A maximum occurs because the first loading factor increases from zero to one with increasing $R_{T}$ (remember $R_{T} \rightarrow 0$ means $R_{B} \rightarrow 0$ too, because their ratio is fixed), and the second factor decreases from one to zero as $R_{T}$ increases, as we have seen before in class and in the lab spreadsheet. Finally, the gain with feedback can be written as
EQ. 28

$$
\frac{V_{O}}{V_{I}}=\frac{A_{v}(\text { loaded })}{1+\frac{R_{B}}{R_{T}+R_{B}} A_{v}(\text { loaded })},
$$

where the ratio $R_{B} /\left(R_{T}+R_{B}\right)$ is the voltage feedback factor $\beta_{F B}$. $E Q .28$ shows that, for large loaded gain, the gain with feedback of the noninverting amplifier becomes the ideal value of the circuit with an ideal op amp, that is,
EQ. 29

$$
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{l}}}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{A_{v} \text { (loaded) }}+\frac{R_{B}}{R_{T}+R_{B}}}=\left(1+\frac{R_{T}}{R_{B}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{1+\frac{R_{T}}{R_{B}}}{A_{v}(\text { loaded })}}\right) \approx 1+\frac{R_{T}}{R_{B}},
$$

with the deviation from ideal gain determined by the ratio of the ideal gain to the loaded gain.

